

Trump Over Biden

The Logical Comparison

10 Reasons for Trump
10 Strikes Against Biden

e-Publication Copyright © 2020 by John Thomas
All Rights Reserved.
Manufactured in the United States of America

Dedicated to Thomas the Eternal Paine

Preface

This book was born out of my curiosity surrounding the 2020 election. It has been a year like no other and we are heading into a November choice like no other. Two candidates pulling us in opposite directions. One is guided by the constitutional principles of our republic and will elevate us to new heights of freedom. The other is titled by an obnoxious left-wing and will pull us down into tyranny. We need to pay attention now more than ever, especially with the anarchy burning through our city streets. What are the objective facts about each candidate, and what are the consequences of choosing one over the other?

As an independent mathematician, I will offer a unique perspective to prove my hypothesis. I have simplified the logical equations down to 10 rigorous arguments for and against each candidate. Focusing heavily on facts, my conclusions will lead to an amalgamation of intriguing information. Even if we do not agree on everything, surely we can agree that being informed is vital to our democracy. Particularly before walking into a voting booth or digging through an apartment box full of ballots.

The left is eager to censor this but far too closed-minded to ever read it. They are paralyzed by a religious-like-political belief system that conflicts our Constitution. When confronted with facts they react irrationally and brand conservative ideas as racist. Because to contemplate contrary evidence would require them to admit fault and surrender power. Control is the only thing they truly desire. It is their greatest ruination and will plunge our nation into despotism if we do not resist. These forces are nothing new; they are as old as time and as “well-intended” as ever. Today’s fascist fighters are yesterday’s Nazis. They are trying to create a world without freedom, equality, or law and order. The fire has already begun in the Pacific Northwest and will continue to spread if we do not extinguish it with logic.

Table of Contents

[Introduction](#)

Donald John Trump

[Chapter 1: The Art of Trump](#)

[Chapter 2: The Output of Trump \(y\)](#)

[Chapter 3: The Hatred of Trump \(x\)](#)

[10 Reasons for Trump](#)

Joseph Robinette Biden Jr.

[Chapter 4: Harris on the Ticket](#)

[Chapter 5: Questions about Joe Biden](#)

[Chapter 6: Bernie and the Radical Left](#)

[Chapter 7: Systems of Governance](#)

[10 Strikes Against Biden](#)

[Amazon Review Link](#)

Introduction

Freedom and opportunity are the pinnacles of governance, wherever they lead we should follow. On the contrary, partisan politics leads us to the pitfalls of humanity. People become rigid when flexibility is required. Unseen facts appear at random, and ideas must adapt in the face of incontrovertible evidence. After years of thoughtful research, I seek truth as an American, not a partisan.

Being a conservative is like training at the gym. It requires a painful input (x) but offers a rewarding output (y). Let us take an issue, for example, building up the military. The input (x) requires painstaking research, funding, and training. But the output (y) is peace. The world's strongest military is a badge of honor few countries have ever adorned. It offers us world peace and prosperity through strength.

Liberals are the opposite. They get all their gratification from the input (x) and endure the output (y). For instance, the abhorrent idea of abolishing the police, the input (x) of liberating people, from what they falsely perceive to be an overbearing racist police department makes them feel like heroes. They proudly chant their slogans with emotional conviction after having defeated a figment of their imagination. However, the output (y) of living in a community without police is horrible. Criminals feed off this weakness and things quickly devolve into mayhem.

This is a theme throughout the left / right political divide. Whether it be welfare, justice reform, or walls. Liberals are great at tearing things down, and conservatives are great at building things up. Sometimes it is a bad build like a system that does not allow women the right to vote. Both sides have their usefulness.

Today's liberals are focused on tearing down the police force, but instead of destroying it, we should build it up because we cannot live a civilized life without it. People need to accept that our police force will never be perfect because it is composed of humans and we are inherently imperfect. The only solution to perfection would be a robot police force that is programmed to act judiciously. Until then, we must force these out of control clusters to calm down and be reasonable.

The people that surround us in our families and communities have subconscious control over our political decisions. They can trick us into believing the most illogical things. It is this fabric where the partisan mind lives. People spread lies so fast they solidify and become false truths. The actual truth foils this plan, therefore partisans condemn it as hate speech.

When a fact exists, that destroys my point of view: I must either contend with that fact, find someone who can or surrender my point of view. There is no room for anger or spite of things presented as facts. Living in a toxic bubble that bends the truth and ignores key details of reality is counterproductive to our forward progression.

In this book, I will take a stand for Donald Trump. I leave it to my contemporaries to counter my viewpoint. Perhaps they can offer a reasonable alternative to which I will rebut. Thus, the conversation continues. I would never call for the silencing of any opposition, and we should universally shame anyone who does.

Debates are a necessary part of our political discourse, they allow us to explore each candidate. We must begin with an open mind so we can pay close attention to integrity, confidence, honesty, and direction. Integrity is important in any human interaction. Will you do what you say you are going to do? When the journey becomes difficult, will you quit? Have you flip-flopped? Why?

The facts I present here will have little effect on the far-left and far-right. Once a person becomes deeply partisan, they lose objectivity. They are convinced of an egregious guilt and seal their thoughts with anger. Many partisans have convinced themselves that Trump is an updated version of Hitler. To eliminate this mass hysteria would require months of counseling, a deprogramming of sorts. The partisan hacks know this and work tirelessly to reprogram people. Hijacking their minds to commit evil acts. It has already begun with senseless destruction of property, attacking people, and even murder.

While I support Trump it is not a blind allegiance; it is measured and practical because of his platform. As a mathematician, I will explain it this way: I focus on his results, the output (y). Think of both candidates as the input variables (x), the White House represents the function ($f(x)$), and what we gain from it all is the output (y). Many partisans will focus on Trump being an egregious x -variable, but the actual effect of having Trump as president (y) is a net positive.

I have invoked the mathematical function ($f(x)$) to give us a deeper understanding of my political philosophy. The function ($f(x)$) can be thought of as a machine which takes an input (x) and does something to it to produce and output (y). A simple diagram of this: $(x) \rightarrow (f(x)) \rightarrow (y)$. The x -variable (x) goes into the machine ($f(x)$) and produces the output (y). Think about making a smoothie, it starts with some fruit (x) which is put into the blender ($f(x)$) and outcomes a smoothie (y).

The purpose of looking at the x -variable is to predict the y -variable. Other than that, the x is useless. People that hate Trump are always focusing on his x -value using it to divide us. They speak of how he is unpresidential, racist, or misogynistic, and therefore his output (y) as president should be racist and misogynistic. But it is not, and they hate him all the more for it. He insults their pride and makes them look like liars. They become angrier by the day because of his positive achievements. This leads to Trump derangement syndrome or a broken function, which we can symbolize as the empty set $\emptyset$.

The elite establishment hates him because he goes against their interest. They will deny this because to admit it is to confess their interest, which counters our interest. The truth is Trump's output (y) aligns with most Americans, thus the media must ignore or distort it. They footnote all his victories with a negative twist. They can never just give him credit when he deserves it. Nancy Pelosi called his Middle East Peace deal a "distraction." He will probably not win the Nobel Peace Prize, despite Barack Obama winning one just for being elected.

His vision will lead to freedom and prosperity. If one could prove otherwise, then I would change course. Not being able to say the same proves partisanship. I would be a socialist if you could prove that socialism leads to a brighter future. However, it does not. It only works in a university laboratory.

Socialism comes from focusing on the input: helping the underprivileged (x), and ignoring the output: economic collapse (y). Ironically socialism creates more of the thing it was intended to stop: suffering. As it happens, turning an imperfect world upside-down to create perfect outcomes has dramatically negative consequences. Seems like we forget this from time to time.

The greatest truth of all is that we as a society must find a balance in our politics. I do favor Republican dominance. We need the Democrats for equilibrium but they have let a radical element invade their ideology. Too much power by either the left or right and we lose freedom. Politics is like an amplifier's equalizer; we must fine-tune the settings. After listening to both sides, we need more conservatives to counter the radical emotions of the left.

Could you imagine if Republicans let ultra right-wing groups dominate their agenda? I would abscond them just as harshly. Level headed Democrats need to push back against the Dangerous Donald narrative it only leads to turmoil and lost elections. In, Trump v. Biden it is Trump by a landslide. I will prove this unequivocally. I write independently, free from outside restraints as I have no connections to any political circles. I do not care who I offend, my only mission is truth.

Donald John Trump

The Art of Trump

Personal History

Donald John Trump was born in Queens, New York, and would go on to become our 45th president. He grew up in a competitive American family. His desire for success has been the driving narrative of his life, and winning creates bitter rivals and sore losers. Trump's wake is filled with them. They go on for hours with their emotional grievances and fact-less diatribes. His niece wrote an entire book proving she is a bitter person.

Judging from the success of his children, Trump appears to be a great father. He does not drink or do drugs. He is sober and engaged politically. Perhaps another reason liberals hate him. He is actively involved in countering their narratives. Listening to him speak, we get the sense that he is intelligent with a balanced IQ and EQ. He is not a demented person with a psychological disorder, as the "Goldwater Rule" violating armchair-psychologist would have us believe.

After taking over the family business in 1971, Trump would greatly expand it to achieve a personal wealth of \$2 to \$10 billion, depending on who you ask. The basic facts cannot even be agreed upon because he has made the left so irrational. We live in a time when cold hard facts cannot overcome illogical irate feelings. The media is obsessed with gotcha moments. Tallying his lie total to be 20,000 at last count.

Within those 20,000 lies, which one is the worst? And what was the consequence of it? Countless articles speak of lies, but there is no mention of an egregious one that caused harm. Trump uses hyperbolic predictions to make political points. He simplifies things so that the American people can easily understand it. Many of these things are not lies but more of political opinions.

In particular, Trump spoke of Joe Biden and Bernie Sanders wanting to abolish the police. Neither man has been on record for defunding the police. However, they both speak of changing and reforming the police.

In particular, Biden has flip-flop-flipped on this important issue. To begin, he wrote an op-ed in 2002 calling for more police. This did not sit well with his 2020 base so in an interview he agreed to "divert" funding from police. Divert is doublespeak for defund, and then his campaign put out a statement saying he does not support defunding the police. Which one is it? I know the Joe Biden of old is a law and order guy but his campaign knows this will not play well for his "anything but Trump" supporters.

Many of his supporters have outright called for dismantling the police and abolishing the prison system. A horrible idea that would create chaos. Biden and Sanders are both too weak to push back on the far-left over anything. In fact, Biden's choice of Kamala Harris provides further evidence for Trump's argument. She uses the phrase "reimagining policing," which centers around reducing the number of police and therefore is a defunding of the police. This term is a smokescreen that creates confusion. The far-left thinks they are getting defunding, and the center-right thinks they are getting reforms. Thus, a Biden/Harris ticket is a vote for defunding.

There is a simple truth here that supporting Biden is tantamount to defunding the police, not supporting it, or changing it so much that it will be abolished. Why? Because Biden will have limited control for a limited time over his administration. The people that surround him and support Democrats, in general, are intentionally antagonistic to the police and other federal agencies such as ICE. The people he would appoint to the justice department would systematically change it in such a way as to essentially abolish it. He would be helpless to stop it, and therefore Trump's point is valid while the letter of his words might not be completely accurate. To explain all the nuance behind it requires a book. In a short tweet, he can lump Harris/Biden with antagonizing, defunding, distorting, or abolishing police agencies, which is what will happen under their administration.

It is reasonable to assume that Democrats will cave-in to the political pressures of the left and destroy our justice system, which is unfeasible considering they do not have a cohesive message on how to replace it. Chanting "no justice no peace" does not spontaneously produce the perfect justice system. Some have called for using unarmed social workers in extraordinarily dangerous domestic disputes. After a few of these people get caught in the crossfire, there will be a call to arm them.

What is more, when traveling to dire events they would need to speed through intersections. Thus, we could equip their cars with lights to warn other drivers. We could make the lights blue and red so that they stand out. They would need uniforms so that civilians could distinguish them from suspects. They would also need to be able to detain people with handcuffs, and put them in the back of cars to take them to secure holding places. One of these suspects might violently resist detainment and be killed. Then we must take to the streets and burn everything to the ground. After starting all over again, what have we done but drive around in a circle to please an angry mob?

Alternatively, others have called for outright anarchy. They want to live in a movie like *The Purge*. Where people will be hunted like animals for their wealth. They have already tried this in Seattle with CHOP. It was a complete disaster. We need law and order to function as a civilized society. Mob rule is a very dangerous thing, the history books are full of their atrocities.

When criminals are shown kindness, they repay it by wreaking havoc on our city streets. Everyday Americans will be the victims of an uptick in violence. Millions of people that were unaware of the importance of politics. What are these politicians really saying? Trump eats away at the covert narrative running beneath the stream of the left. They are antagonistic to the systems of justice such as the police and prisons. They do not believe in punishing or spanking. They reject the saying, "spare the rod, spoil the child," and consequently, we have a plethora of undisciplined criminals running around.

They constantly speak of how America has the most prisoners in the world per capita, never speaking of the fact that many other countries simply execute people without countless appeals and years on death row. Our prison population is about 2.3 million in a country of 330 million. That is about 0.7%. This is not an astronomical number, especially when we consider that about 1-2% of almost any population will break the law for any number of reasons without fear of consequence. If you add the fear of consequence in a strict society, we can reduce that number, but we end up trampling freedoms. We must find a balance in this equation. One where we are not unduly punishing people but also not showing weakness to criminals. Removing the fear of consequence could increase the number of criminals. The remaining people have good character and would only break laws if social norms forced them to.

America's Advocate

On the world stage, Trump is a great advocate for America. He is an excellent deal-maker, understanding how to use flattery and leverage in negotiations. He plays the game of world politics by being positive and thinking big. However, he also anticipates worst-case scenarios and reserves the power to walk away from deals.

He understands the mathematical probability of things falling apart, so he keeps his options open. He does not like negotiating from a position of weakness, therefore, he uses leverage to gain the upper hand. He understands that the press loves a sensational story, so he is just bold enough to pique their interest. Living by the mantra, "bad press is better than no press." He is a counter-puncher and fights back when mistreated. He is frugal and gets a thrill from winning the game, not making the money. He also delivers on his promises.

He promised to withdraw from the Iran Nuclear Deal and he did. He also withdrew troops from the Middle East changing the tone. He declared the dawn of the new middle east by brokering a peace agreement between Israel and two Arab countries. The deal with the United Arab Emirates and Bahrain will include trade, security and tourism. Muslims will be allowed to visit Islamic holy sites in Jerusalem. This could be a lasting legacy for Trump. Finally peace in the Middle East.

Trump could accomplish many great things if the partisan hatred did not slow him down so much. All of his flaws are superficial, there is nothing in his personality that is harmful to us as a nation. The harm comes from a wildly out of control left-wing reaction. They prance around our city streets with their toddler-like temper tantrums targeting our institutions to tear down, angry because they bought into a "Dangerous Donald" narrative.

The Clinton Campaign started this narrative in 2016. The strategy was to paint the opponent too deplorable to vote for. The left then made huge leaps in logic to grow The Dangerous Deplorable Donald Narrative. It was pumped into media outlets and spread through our society like a virus. Random street parrots chant, "Trump is a racist." They have no facts or logic behind their accusations. What has Trump ever done that is racist? Then they chant, "Russia Russia Russia!" The world was supposed to end because of him, and yet here we are standing strong three quarters through one of the craziest years ever.

The left relies heavily on emotion. The Dangerous Donald and glass ceiling narrative combined to form a fountain of bitterness which we are still witnessing. The mob wants revenge even after three years. They refuse to give Trump a chance to improve our nation. If they could forget the x-variable and focus on his results, we could make great strides around the world.

Trump is not allowed to be successful because of the number of people that would have to "eat crow." There were so many apocalyptic predictions that mislead us. Trump producing results makes them look like fools. The newspapers portrayed him as a failed businessman with multiple bankruptcies. This is the beginning of a long and misleading narrative about Trump. How does generating a wealth of over 2 billion dollars equate to being a business failure? If that defines failure then what is success? Does it matter? Why are we supposed to care if his wealth is 2 billion or 4 billion? Only partisan hacks wade into these waters.

There are legitimate criticisms to be made about any human as we are all inherently imperfect, but it needs to be legitimate, and not obsessive emotional insanity known as Trump Derangement Syndrome. Feelings are not facts. The left lives in these interconnected webs of propaganda, and if anyone dares question them, they shut down or become emotional and lash out. They want everyone to live in their fact-free bubble.

That is why they are antagonistic to the first amendment. Politics has turned into religion for them.

Trump's personal life does not affect him as a leader, or me as a citizen, therefore I will not entertain them. How many wives he has had or women he has slept with or locker room banter he has engaged in makes him human. It does not make him some unclean deplorable incapable of leadership. This idea of a clean, perfect human leading us is a fool's mirage.

Building things can be a messy endeavor. Even our great forefathers who built the most incredible nation on Earth had flaws. We see the left trampling statues of them, labeling them racist for their poor judgment in regards to slavery. It is true, many of them owned slaves at a time when the entire world was trafficking in slavery. However, they had the vision to implement a system that could eradicate slavery through amendments. Many of them felt uncomfortable with slavery, but to change something that had existed in almost every major civilization in history would take time.

The British sent slaves all around the world. The Aztec Indians used prisoners of war as slaves. Egyptians enslaved the Jews. Even the Bible spoke of how to treat slaves. Our forefathers, in their amazing wisdom, planted the seeds for us to escape from the institution of enslaving each other. However, they did not have the strength to single-handedly end the institution and force a union of all the states.

We must not look down on them for their flaws. Even a great civil rights leader like Martin Luther King Jr. was accused of mistreating women. LGBTQ activist and leftist icon Ellen DeGeneres was accused of mistreating her staff. Bill Clinton mistreated an intern. J.K. Rowling suggested in a tweet that women menstruate, the outrage. We are all imperfect humans, and we should only reserve harsh judgment for those that break the law and hurt others.

So, while you may find flaws in Trump, you can find them in anyone. Even George Washington. The point is not inconsequential things people do on their worst days, but what they do at their best. Our nation was built at a time when kings and queens were thought to be the only way to rule people. George Washington could have used the army to claim himself king and disband the congress, but he did not because he believed in freedom. And he believed in the United States of America. For that, we should stand proudly when our national anthem plays in unison with one another as a group, not defined by our birthplace or skin color but our citizenship and belief in this country.

Two Qualities

There is no hidden agenda or shady modus operandi with Trump. You can read his Twitter feed if you are curious about his position on current events. He rarely stays silent. This is not politics as usual! It is far better than someone waiting for polling data and hiding behind speech writers to perfectly convey the most politically advantageous message. We might not all agree with everything he says, but at least we know where he stands. Communication is an important characteristic of great leadership.

Trump possesses two admirable qualities. First, he tries to do what he says he is going to do. Secondly, he does not back down from a fight. In leadership, it is important to follow through. Trump said he was going to build a wall and despite Democratic opposition and lukewarm Republican support, he built over 200 miles. The left's resistance is designed to make Trump look bad. If he came out tomorrow in favor of running water, they would be against it.

The Democrats' only goal is political power, which can be achieved by destroying Trump, and if America burns, it is acceptable collateral damage. They work tirelessly to

come up with new ways to defeat his agenda. If Trump was a weak-minded man, he would surrender and give in to the raging mob. This would serve to embolden them and increase their blood lust. The left is a bully that intimidates and controls people through social shaming. The only way to counter a bully is with strength and resolve. Trump possesses both qualities and it leads him to success.

Listening to Tulsi Gabbard speak of the intimidation and coercion placed upon her when she entered Washington DC only reinforces this truth. Republicans can be just as coalesced, so focused on victory that they lose sight of what is best for our nation. These politicians become like plaque on the teeth. Every now and then we need to brush them away. Term limits on these establishment types are a great solution.

Trump never backs down from them. He has a spine, which is rare for Republicans. They usually cave quickly to the deranged hyperbolic media. The initial emotional gut reaction to a situation is not always the best time to make a law. It takes the confidence and strength of a true leader to stay on course. When the media starts twisting things for partisan advantage, he calls them out on it. Trump fearlessly calls them “fake news” to their face.

He does this by going as hard at the left as they go at the right. He is not afraid to counter-punch and dismisses their crazy demands. He is unaffected by “triggered feelings” or “safe spaces.” He understands that this is just the beginning and eventually the emotional mob will turn on everyone. Negotiating with them is like negotiating with a terrorist. Give a little today, give a lot tomorrow.

Their goal is the destruction of American society. Perhaps they watched too many dystopian movies and want to create that lawless life where they can give into horrible instincts without consequence. It is irrational and ignorant. Hopefully, we do not have to get to the end of the road for enough people to see the stupidity. These people have been sold on a dream of utopia, but the real goal is Democratic political power.

The war Trump started was not with the rest of the world but with the elites. They are a powerful force in our society. People that look down on the rest of us from their ivory towers. People like Twitter CEO Jack Dorsey who thinks that feelings are more important than free speech. People like Sundar Pichai who thinks our Google searches should lead left. People like Susan Wojcicki who demonetizes conservative speech because she can. People like Mark Zuckerberg who believes in censoring a president. People like Jeff Bezos who thinks we should only buy what the WP is selling. People like David Brock who thinks Media Matters. People like George Soros who thinks chaos will usher in utopia. People like James Comey and Robert Muller who think everyday Americans are too unfit to pick a president. Therefore, investigations must be had undermining the will of the people.

Trump has a good vision for the country, but little political capital to spend. Most Democrats and Republicans in Washington despise him. They work daily to undermine him, and speak of him as a Neanderthal that is somehow less evolved. They have created a new breed of discrimination replacing orange with black. Anyone with such a self-righteous attitude in Washington is the fault of a half-asleep electorate willing to delude itself with propaganda.

To illustrate, look at how the Democrats acted toward Bill Barr at his house judiciary committee hearing on July 28, 2020, it was indefensible. We must pay attention to our representatives. We cannot support legislators antagonizing and silencing the Attorney General while catering to an out of control Antifa mob.

Weak politicians who placate to the mob will not appease them, only embolden them. The bully will not stop asking for lunch money after getting paid. He will only ask for more and more. There is a time and place to stand up and fight. Trump has the

personality to take them on in our interest. Democrats lack the character to stand with him. Their worst fear is giving Trump a victory, and not a civil war.

People want to call Trump mean but nice people make for weak leaders. Gerald Ford and Jimmy Carter were the nicest presidents but ineffective leaders. Great leaders stand up for what they believe in even if it offends everyone. A weak leader will change course easily. They will do the wrong thing to avoid stepping on toes. These types of politicians fester in our capital. They wave around like lilies in a hurricane. Trump is the only one to stand steady in the face of the opposition.

We are better off with a crass New Yorker than having a weak ineffective leader. Trump for all his media attention will never be the political genius Ronald Reagan was. Reagan ruled the world with sharp zingers. In the 1984 debate, he was questioned about being too old and said, "I will not make age an issue of this campaign. I am not going to exploit, for political purposes, my opponent's youth and inexperience," He won reelection in two sentences.

Reagan was harder to hate than Trump. He danced around liberals with effortless grace whereas Trump will run them over. Reagan had a much more impressive input (x) than Trump. However, they both got things done and stood up for our values and Constitution. Trump is more transparent than any president. Many view this as a flaw but it is a great attribute.

Trump's output (y) is arguably better than that of Reagan. Reagan did not want to over-stir the pot, Trump does not care. Trump is a skilled negotiator and has already made historic deals. In the end, history will look fondly upon both men. Years from now when the self-righteous minded Americans who spread divisive tribal politics become a relic of the past.

The Output of Trump (y)

The Trump Platform

Despite our human lust for the sensational, the planks of a political platform are the most important part of a candidate. The planks of a platform represent the 'vegetables' of what we should vote on. To be an informed consumers we must understand the argument and counter-argument on assorted variety of political topics. My support for President Trump centers around his platform (x), and his accomplishments (y). His agenda, when allowed to ripen, will bear fruit for all Americans.

Planks are the contracted agreement between the politician and the public. This is what you are going to do, this is why you are going to do it, and this is the effect it will have. We should make our choice for a candidate on two things: their platform and their willingness to fight for it. The purpose of the character argument is to make sure they will follow through with their promises. If a person like Joe Biden flip-flops around or is a serial liar, then what use is their platform? How can we entrust them to fulfill it?

He is not alone, most politicians are liars and sycophants to special-interest. They hide planks that can be extremely harmful to the general public but extremely helpful to their financial coffers. They are constantly moving goalposts for political convenience. Trump is not one of these politicians. Most of his political stances can be understood from very old interviews. For example, he went on Oprah Winfrey in 1988 and talked about making our allies pay their fair share.

A politician can lie to us all day about crowd sizes or what they ate for breakfast, but they better not lie about the direction they plan to take our country. These are the lies that matter. Like Obama, who ran as a pacifist opposed to the war in Iraq, then used our military in Afghanistan, Iraq, Syria, Libya, Yemen, Somalia, and Pakistan. His lie resulted in mass casualties and created a mess in the Middle East. It is worse than all 20,000 of Donald Trump's supposed lies combined.

My support for Trump centers around my belief in his platform because they are similar to mine. If brought to fruition, they will lead to a free and open society that is more prosperous and ripe with opportunity. Going after billionaires as Bernie Sanders suggests does not lead to less suffering. It leads to a short-term economic boost followed by a destroyed economic engine. The results are Venezuela because eventually, you run out of other people's money.

Trump may exaggerate things to make them more appealing, but he does not lie about his planks. No one doubts his sincerity in fulfilling his promises. Therefore, an earnest debate can be had about the planks. Will we be better off with more legal immigration and less illegal immigration? These are the types of important discussions we should be having.

Legal Immigration

It is tremendously unfair to force legal immigrants to wait in line for years to enter our country, while turning a blind eye to people that sneak across our borders or overstay their visas. We have designed a system to allow 1.1 million immigrants to enter our country every year. Just because more want to enter does not force us to allow them. Overpopulation has many negative side effects.

For instance, importing low-skilled workers will purloin the jobs that low-income Americans normally fill. This competition will produce unemployment and homelessness for people that desire work. It also drives wages down, because when an employer has a surplus of applicants and he can offer less.

Overpopulation will lead to more crime, and something that should alarm every Al Gore Liberal reading this, increased usage of fossil fuels. Americans use more fossil fuels per capital than any other nation on earth, therefore increasing our population would be an overall net positive to the world's carbon footprint. The same foot that hides in the closet and scares liberals at night.

To get back to the point, vital resources will be less available such as water, food, and medical treatment. Americans create more garbage than other country. Increasing our population will increase the amount of overall global pollution substantially.

Many people here do not realize how lucky they are. There is a good reason that so many people want to join our nation. We have amazing job opportunities, unparalleled freedoms, and wide-open spaces. We let more immigrants in than any other nation in the world. They make up about 14% of our overall population. We are exceptionally generous and yet the left hounds us constantly for not doing enough. They are insatiable.

A nation is merely a group of people working and living together under the laws they agree to self-impose. Thus, two important questions we should ask: Do you respect our freedoms? And will you work? Many countries around the globe do not respect our constitutional amendments. Specifically, freedom of religion, freedom of speech, and the right to bear arms.

In China, they enslaved a Muslim minority population and sentenced them to concentration camps. They have little respect for people that think or look different. They have a COVID based fear of black people and almost no Black or Caucasian citizens. There is no such thing as freedom of speech or the right to bear arms in China.

In the Middle East, many Muslim countries persecute Christian minorities. In other countries, the Muslims denounce other Muslims. The hostilities over religion are as old as society itself. America was one of the first countries to provide a religious sanctuary to Pilgrims and Puritans. Many of these countries are intolerant of outside religion.

Great Britain and Canada have limitations on "hate speech." James Sears, a Canadian editor of a newspaper in Toronto, was jailed for saying hateful things about women and Jews. While we should all disagree with everything he said, putting him in jail only makes him look like a martyr for free speech and gives his cause more publicity. He said his words were meant satirically. The simple truth is nobody should be in jail for saying things unless we can show direct harm, feelings not included.

The real danger in this limitation on free speech is that they can manipulate it to silence anyone who disagrees. Label someone a racist and suddenly their speech is hate. It is a tactic that big tech constantly uses on social media platforms. A way to silence people that think on the other side of the political spectrum.

Many countries like Britain do not respect our 2nd amendment. In 1997, Britain prohibited most guns, and to this day, rarely allow police officers to carry. Ironically, the murder rate has surpassed the pre-gun prohibition period. Stricter gun laws did

nothing to curve homicides. Knife attacks are at record levels. The problem of gun violence needs to be solved with methods other than stricter laws on law-abiding citizens. Considering most criminals do not know or abide by gun laws.

While we may not want to allow people we disagree with to speak, we must not stop them. That is the American way. People from other nations that do not respect our laws will bring the same mental traps that led them to flee their home country. We must keep the promise of our forefather's Constitution bright.

The Wall

Liberal elites lecture us on how ineffective walls are while surrounding their homes with walls. They are smart enough to know the effect of a wall, and that is why they want to stop their construction. If walls were useless, they would negotiate DACA for it. Giving up something "useless" to get something meaningful. However, they refuse to do this for two reasons. First, they do not want to give Trump a victory. Second, immigrants overwhelmingly vote Democratic.

The payoff is simple: immigrants get more immigration and welfare assistance, and Democrats get more votes. As usual, Democrats do not care about the effect it has on the country as a whole because they are safely tucked away in their fortress. They want to energize the base by focusing on the emotional input of helping the "underdog."

Democrats fight Trump tooth and nail to get illegal immigrants counted on the 2020 census. Why? To get more representation in places like California so they can shift the electoral college. It is like a version of Jerry-mandering, but instead of moving district lines around, you move massive amounts of people. Specifically, people from other countries into our country, then count them on the census and give them a path to citizenship and the right to vote. It is a political tactic designed for political power. So far, non-citizens can vote in eleven different local elections around the country.

If we have designed our country such that people fill out a form and wait in line to enter, then yes, of course, we should lock the backdoor. If you want more immigrants in our country, then take your appeal to the American public, convince them to convince legislators, and create new laws that allow more people in the front door. We need to debate the questions because a country is merely a collection of people, and if you change the people, you change the country.

How many people should we allow to enter per year? What does the endgame of the Democratic playbook look like? Of the 7.6 billion people on earth, how many of them would want to become US citizens? What if we offered free healthcare, universal income, free college tuition, head start, child care, SNAP, welfare, food stamps, housing assistance, security income program, childcare, social security, and free transportation? How many of the 3 billion people around the globe who live on \$2.50 or less per day might want to come here? Billions? Suddenly we go from a country of 330 million to 4.5 billion. How can we fit all these people? Would our economy collapse from the influx of unskilled labor? The education cost alone would bankrupt us.

We have to quell our emotions and draw the line somewhere. The rest of the world would be a ghost town, and our country would be overwhelmed with people from different cultures. If having this many people is a good thing, why is China trying to reduce their population? Could you imagine all the waste? All the different languages? Whose culture would dominate? Various intolerant religious beliefs living so close would surely lead to violence. Idle people who cannot find work would begin robbing stores for food. It is a horrible idea and would result in a despotic mess. Yet, the left

pushes for it because immigrants tend to vote Democratic. Republicans push for it because businesses want cheaper labor.

Unlike the elitist in both parties, Trump's goals are clear and specific, and he works tirelessly to achieve them. He said he wants to build a wall, and what does he do? He tries his best to build it. Congress does not cooperate. Federal judges block him, so he circumvents them and uses the pentagon. He never gives up, despite all the negative forces.

Now the Democrats try to downplay it and say much of it is a replacement, which is great because it needed to be replaced. Or they say he didn't complete it. Which is true, because they stopped him. He is not a king, nor should he be. We live in a representative democracy with checks and balances. If he failed to build the wall, it is because we as a nation failed him. We failed him by sending irrational idiotic people to Washington.

Trump continues to fight but he doesn't jail the federal judge in Texas that blocked his wall construction. To somehow claim this is a failure is hopelessly misleading and manipulative. The only way Trump fails is if he gives up. If Trump had his way, he would complete the wall tomorrow. Especially when it is something that is in our national interest. We spend billions and billions of dollars on subs that rarely see the light of day or over \$700 billion every year on welfare programs that create more dependents. Why not put up a wall for \$10 billion with a big door to keep people from running across our border? The most ridiculous arguments have been used against the wall.

Trump says he is going to have Mexico pay for it, and he renegotiates NAFTA so that Mexico's trade imbalance is corrected. This brings in additional revenue to the United States, which can in turn be used to build the wall. The truth behind his promise has yet to penetrate the outrage mob. They still mock his statements.

Meanwhile, Joe Biden tells factory workers he is going to stand up for them in Washington, then votes for NAFTA and TPP. This causes millions of jobs to be sent overseas. This one lie is more consequential than any of the 20,000 the media accuses Trump of. To add insult to injury, Biden tells coal miners, learn to code.

Trump does what he says he's going to do, which is rare for a politician. Normally they say one thing on the campaign trail, then cave to special interest once they get to Washington DC. Trump's failure to comply with this orthodoxy drives the bureaucratic elites crazy. Frustrated, that they cannot buy him off. They seek to demonize him at every turn. These groups that represent large multinational corporations often have a special interest that can be harmful to the general interest of Americans.

Trumpanomics

Amazingly, Trump has been able to accomplish anything, given the level of vitriol thrown at him. His opposition is so ripe with anger that they cannot even coherently explain why they oppose him. Perhaps it is because he has an impressive list of accomplishments to tout, including the economy.

Trump continues to fight trade imbalances. Allowing for American manufacturers to compete on a global scale. Our companies are at a competitive disadvantage because they pay a decent wage. In Asia, they can pay pennies on the dollar for the same work; using child labor, eighty-hour workweeks, and offering no benefits. It is a modern-day version of slavery, with many people unable to afford basic-necessities after working all week in harsh conditions.

Exploiting cheap labor weakens us by reducing the number of American jobs. The only advantage of such a system is the bottom line of corrupt corporations. Politicians are paid off through lobbyists, and bills get pushed through congress. The American people do not pay attention because they are distracted by the advertisements. Large shoe companies spend millions on making themselves out to be social justice warriors while running female sweatshops in Vietnam.

If you want to ship jobs around the world, there is an argument to be had for the decent treatment of workers that produce our goods. We should not be left wondering if a pair of sneakers was made by a young Asian girl making \$0.23 per hour, then sold to Americans for \$205 per shoe so that a corporation can have record earnings. Let's just stop doing it.

The strategy is simple. Pay a few million dollars to politicians and celebrities, or pay hundreds of millions in wages to American workers. Trump is a threat to these corporate giants, and they hate him for it. He cannot be bought by them, and this drives a lot of the wealthy Anti-Trump sentiment in this country. They want to tear down Trump to protect their scheme.

Social justice warriors claiming to be against oppression and islamophobia turn a blind eye to all the human rights violations China commits. Including something that sounds like a conspiracy theory but is not; countless articles speak of them, organ harvesting the aforementioned Islamic slaves. There are many other alleged human rights violations by China, all ignored by the globalist social justice warriors of the left.

Unlike Joe Biden, Trump stands up to China. He imposed tariffs on them in response to their forced technology transfer, intellectual property theft, and chronically abusive trade practices. China, whose strength grew from our greed. American corporations are eager to exploit low labor costs shipped millions of jobs to them. This happened by corporations joining forces with a political class willing to sell legislation for campaign contributions. Add an asleep electorate and we end up with millions of wages pumped into a communist country. They are the world's new supervillain with their demented views on freedom.

Trump took a pro-military stance on the campaign trail and has secured a record funding of \$716 billion per year. He also improved the contribution from our NATO allies. They are now spending \$69 billion more on defense than in 2016. No longer are we the military police for all of Europe but in a competent alliance with a worthy partner that can help us tide the evil empires. Countries such as China, Russia, Iran, and North Korea that lust for global domination. Soon that could transform into a lust for intergalactic domination.

Foreseeing this, Trump began a new 6th branch of the Armed services known as the Space Force. Mocked by liberals but it will be his lasting legacy. Future generations will colonize far off planets, and they will do it using the Trump Force. The most ironic twist of all this is that Trump will be revered as one of the greatest presidents in history.

Reductions in taxation freed up billions of dollars to be invested in businesses and grow the economy. Millions of more Americans working led to 3.9 million people being lifted off food stamps and America's unemployment rate had dropped below 4%. We were seeing some of the best numbers in the last 50 years.

Trump accomplished this by opening up ANWR and approving the Keystone XL and Dakota Access Pipelines. Two things Obama refused to do. Our oil production reached an all-time high which reduced our dependence on OPEC. Energy independence is no small thing. It is the reason we are less engaged in the Middle East. America has increased coal exports by 60%. Let alone, the United States is a net natural gas exporter for the first time since the 1950s.

Trump said he would cut taxes and deregulate the bureaucracy, then signed the biggest tax cut and reform bills in US history. He withdrew from the job-killing Paris Climate Accord. Canceled the anti-coal so-called Clean Power Plan. Reached a breakthrough agreement with the E.U. to increase U.S. exports. Imposed tariffs on foreign steel and aluminum to protect our national security. He replaced the NAFTA deal with the USMCA trade deal to balance trade in North America.

Consequently causing a surge in the GDP to over 3% for four quarters of his administration. The stock market increased and job growth surged to record levels before being derailed by the pandemic. Something the Democrats are trying to pin on him. This is an overreach and insults our intelligence, only a political hack would blame Trump for the China Virus.

Trump used executive action to eliminate the Obamacare individual mandate penalty. This was a tax on young, healthy people designed to subsidize older people's healthcare. Should one group of people be forced to pay for another group's healthcare? When first starting out in life, things can be difficult. Putting unwanted taxation on young people is wrong.

The thought behind it is they will be paid back. But why should anyone buy into this system? What guarantees do we have? Tomorrow's politicians could collapse it without warning. Are we supposed to blindly trust the government? This is the disastrous thinking behind social security, and the reason older people are deadly serious about it. They spent their lives paying into a system that can be changed or gutted at any time. It is quite nerve-racking to have that much money tied up in a government institution. It is not fair. We should have never taken their money in the first place.

To mitigate the cost of healthcare, the Trump Administration is using association health plans, short-term duration plans, and approving affordable generic drugs. They also reformed Medicare to stop hospitals from overcharging low-income seniors on drugs. Not to mention, Trump signed the Right-To-Try legislation, which allows people with life-threatening conditions to try experimental medicines. He also signed legislation to hold the VA Accountable for veterans' healthcare.

Conservative Appointments

Who can forget the Brett Kavanaugh hearing? Liberals were so upset screaming bloody murder in the streets. Funny how all that emotion just dissipated. The country did not change all that much since his appointment. Wealth redistribution was not ruled unconstitutional. A woman's right to vote was not undermined. However, we did see how far the political smear machine of the left is willing to go. They did it all for partisan gain. The calculus was simple. Put an innocent man on trial for his "bad" politics.

Kamala Harris had her mind made up before any facts could get out. She believed the unsubstantiated claims of an accuser with no corroboration and clear motive to destroy a man of differing political views. Not only was there no evidence of a crime, but there was contradictory evidence to the crime. To give Kamala credit, she believed Joe Biden's accusers as well. However, that was back in the primary when it was in her political interest. After joining the ticket Biden transformed back to innocent. Funny how guilt and innocence always seem to align with her political allegiances.

With the blaring intense media headlights, this show trial created such a stir of emotion in the American populace that innocent until proven guilty was overturned. Celebrities chanted, 'I'm with her.' There was not one provable fact that ever came out of any of the stories. Our speculation into a story without facts is frivolous, and we

certainly cannot convict someone based on hearsay. Our prisons would overflow. Imagine the power of being able to send someone to jail based on a simple accusation.

The sketchy details of the accusations lacked a specific location. That is a pretty basic fact. Especially, if it will be brought in front of the entire nation under partisan suspicion. It was a media circus full of poignant story telling. The left rejoiced in all their interconnected emotional outrage. The sacrifice of an innocent man was inconsequential.

Anyone who was accused under such murky conditions should feel angry at an injustice system. However, Brett kept his cool as the trial played out in the media where there are no rules. When Ford gave her testimony, it was more about conveying emotion than giving facts. She was probably coached on how to “properly” cry. She allowed herself to be a political pawn.

By confirming more circuit court judges than any other new administration, Trump has put faithful jurists on the bench, including two Supreme Court Justices in Brett Kavanaugh and Neil Gorsuch. This prevents a wild liberal Supreme Court from radically interpreting some of our laws and creating tyranny for Americans.

With great respect and condolences to Supreme Court Judge Ruth Bader Ginsburg, who passed away on September 18, 2020, Trump will have the ability to add another Justice. Hopefully he will pick a truly conservative jurist such as Amy Coney Barrett. In theory it should be a simple transition, however in reality it will be bedlam.

The Hatred of Trump (x)

Opposition to Trump

The adversarial hatred for Trump centers around egregious predictions that if were true no one would support him. They accuse him of being a horrible input (x) that after being sent to the White House ($f(x)$) would output (y) cringe worthy things. They predict he will usher in a new era of slavery, harm immigrants, or stomp on women's rights. However, none of this is true, the moment we realize that is the moment we support him. Therefore, the left is in a fever pitch to feed their narratives and keep people believing in all their horrible predictions.

He's a nationalist!

Trump puts American interest ahead of other nations because he works for America. The media labels him as a nationalist and tries to turn this into a smear. If our president does not represent our interest, then what nation does he represent?

The alternative to this would be a globalist or someone that represents the interest of other nations over that of America. This is like hiring a lawyer that represents the interest of society over that of a client. It sounds noble, but it is useless to the accused. Who would ever want a lawyer that does not represent their interest?

Our president should find compromises on common sense global strategies but when there are competing interests, he should push for America first. This strategy maintains our power on the world stage. While America is not perfect, we are a fair country that values freedom. For the most part, world peace rests on our shoulders.

Giving up our power could lead to a despotic regime consuming the world. Consequently, endangering future generations leading to death and slavery. Anyone that thinks America is irredeemable has no grasp of history. Texts are littered with evil civilizations slaughtering for "difference hatred." Therefore, we must stand for justice but also maintain our interest which is peace and prosperity.

Leaders should represent their nation's best interests. This is the fundamental principle of being a nation. Our leaders sit down with other leaders and make decisions that can affect our everyday lives. Do we want those negotiations to go against our interests? Or in the interest of some unattainable noble truth? Barack Obama espouses about. Of course, we want a strong principled proponent of our interest.

It's all Trump's fault!

There is a simple principle in business, "the buck stops here." Good business owners take full responsibility for all aspects of their company. If something should happen to an employee, then the boss must act. If he does not, then he must assume part of the responsibility. This is a valid principle because the boss of a company is an authoritarian. In most states, he can fire at will for any reason. While he cannot stop

everything, he can make a climate that lacks harassment. He does have tools to use if he so chooses.

This principle is valid for business and not politics. The left cannot start a dumpster fire and use the “buck stops here” principal against Trump’s America. By way of example, with COVID-19. Democratic governors push for lockdowns that slow down the economy. Then they blame Trump for a slow economy. Trump is not a king. He cannot fire Nancy Pelosi. The Democrats have power, and they are using it to muddy the waters and create a chaotic mess, then blame Trump for the economic disaster.

He’s divisive!

How dare liberals blame Donald Trump for being divisive when they hold a shiv covered in racist epithets. The victim of the stabbing is not to blame for the anger of the perpetrator. This crime falls squarely on the shoulders of those that have been airing hateful Hitler filled rhetoric. Lobbing wild claims with absolutely zero evidence, it is destructive.

Trump killed 190,000 Americans!

Internet trolls are running rampant through cyberspace labeling Donald Trump, the COVID killer. That message has spread to the elite in Washington as their official talking point. What motive would Trump have for spreading COVID-19? The economic engine of America was roaring back to life. Our reaction to this virus has caused unrest and slowed the recovery. The virus has been bad for both America and Trump.

Hard to believe Democrats are using this as a political weapon. John Kerry never used 9/11 against George W. Bush.